INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF CARDIOVASCULAR RESEARCH & INNOVATION
Jan-Mar 2024, VOL. 2, ISSUE 1, pp. 22-30
https://doi.org/10.61577/ijcri.2024.100005

RESEAPRO JOURNALS

OPEN ACCESS

REVIEW

Advances in wearable biotechnology for cardiac monitoring

Durgapada Sarkhel

Department of Biotechnology, MITS School of Biotechnology, Odisha, India

ABSTRACT

Wearable biotechnology is enhancing cardiac health monitoring by enabling continuous data collection for early detection and better management of cardiovascular diseases (CVDs). Recent advancements, such as multi-sensor integration, combine electrocardiography (ECG), heart rate variability, blood pressure, and oxygen saturation monitoring to provide a comprehensive cardiovascular assessment and improve diagnostic precision. Innovations in energy-harvesting technologies, like thermoelectric and piezoelectric materials, extend battery life, reducing the need for frequent recharging and increasing patient adherence. Despite these advances, challenges remain, particularly concerning data security, which requires robust encryption methods and secure data transmission protocols. Regulatory frameworks like the GDPR and HIPAA need to evolve to accommodate technological progress, ensuring new devices are safe and quickly brought to market. Enhancing interoperability with electronic health records (EHRs) is crucial to maximizing the clinical utility of wearable devices. Future research should focus on developing biochemical sensors to detect specific biomarkers in bodily fluids, refining AI algorithms for personalized diagnostics, and establishing standards for data sharing and integration. Collaboration among healthcare providers, regulatory bodies, and technology developers is essential to overcoming current barriers, expanding clinical applications, and ultimately improving patient outcomes and public health.

KEYWORDS

Cardiovascular diseases; Electrocardiography; Biomarkers; Heart rate

ARTICLE HISTORY

Received 31 January 2024; Revised 28 February 2024; Accepted 07 March 2024

Introduction

Cardiovascular diseases (CVDs) remain a leading cause of death worldwide, with their prevalence steadily increasing. For instance, the number of CVD patients has reached hundreds of millions in various countries, making these diseases a significant public health concern. The economic burden associated with CVD is also substantial, with global treatment costs projected to rise dramatically in the coming years [1]. This situation underscores the urgent need for effective strategies in CVD prevention, early diagnosis, and management, with continuous monitoring playing a pivotal role in reducing both health and financial impacts [2].

Traditional methods for cardiac health monitoring, such as multi-lead Holter monitors and event monitors have been widely employed for detecting and diagnosing cardiac rhythm disorders [3]. While these devices provide continuous electrocardiogram (ECG) waveforms and crucial data on heart health, they are often hampered by issues related to patient compliance. Their bulky design, wired connections, and the discomfort caused by prolonged use often deter patients from using them consistently [4]. Recent advancements in wearable biotechnology have resulted in the development of flexible, lightweight sensors, which offer a more comfortable and convenient alternative to traditional monitoring methods. These newer devices are small, low-cost, and capable of energy harvesting, making them suitable for both clinical settings and home-based cardiac care [5].

The advent of flexible wearable sensors has transformed cardiac monitoring by enabling continuous, real-time measurement of key physiological signals, such as ECG, photoplethysmography (PPG), seismocardiogram/ballistocardiogram (SCG/BCG), and apexcardiogram (ACG) [6]. For example, some new devices are designed to be as unobtrusive as a standard adhesive bandage, adhering to the skin and wirelessly transmitting data to smartphones. These sensors are engineered to be less intrusive and more comfortable, thus enhancing patient compliance and enabling long-term monitoring. Recent studies suggest that flexible sensors can achieve accuracy levels comparable to traditional monitors while providing significant improvements in usability and comfort [7].

Despite these advancements, several limitations persist in the current generation of wearable cardiac sensors. Challenges remain in achieving optimal skin coupling for extended periods, which is essential for accurate data capture [8]. Additionally, certain types of sensors, such as those relying on PPG, may face signal inaccuracies due to placement on peripheral sites, where movement and inconsistent skin contact can introduce noise. While flexible sensors offer improved comfort and ease of use, they may not yet fully replicate the comprehensive data quality provided by multi-lead ECG systems commonly used in clinical environments [9].

While much progress has been made in developing flexible sensors for cardiac health monitoring, there are still gaps that need to be addressed. Enhancing the data accuracy of these sensors during long-term use in dynamic, real-life conditions

***Correspondence:** Mr. Durgapada, Department of Biotechnology, MITS School of Biotechnology, Odisha, India, 751024, Tel/ Fax: +91 9861362141, e-mail: durgapadasarkhel98@gmail.com

is a significant area for improvement. Additionally, there is a need to explore more thoroughly the integration of advanced technologies, such as artificial intelligence and big data analytics, to enhance the predictive capabilities and personalized diagnostics of wearable sensors [10].

This review aims to evaluate recent advancements in wearable biotechnology for cardiac health monitoring, focusing particularly on flexible and disposable sensors. The objective is to identify the current challenges associated with these technologies, propose areas for improvement, and highlight future research directions to enhance their accuracy, usability, and clinical relevance [11]. Additionally, the potential for integrating new technologies, such as AI and the Internet of Things (IoT), will be considered to optimize cardiac health management and improve patient outcomes [12].

Overview of Conventional Wearable Technologies

Traditional wearable technologies, including Holter monitors, wrist-worn devices, and chest-strap monitors, are widely used for detecting and diagnosing cardiac rhythm disorders. Holter monitors provide continuous monitoring of heart electrical activity over 24 to 48 hours, capturing data essential for identifying irregular heart rhythms and other conditions [13]. These monitors typically use electrodes attached to the skin to record electrical signals, which are then analyzed later. However, Holter monitors are often large and cumbersome, requiring wired connections that can cause discomfort and limit mobility, leading to lower patient compliance, particularly during extended monitoring periods [14].

Wrist-worn devices and chest-strap monitors offer alternative methods for monitoring heart health. Wrist-worn devices use sensors to measure heart rate by detecting changes in blood flow at the wrist. While they are more convenient and easier to wear, these devices are often less accurate due to interference from motion and inconsistent contact with the skin. Chest-strap monitors, used in sports and fitness, provide more reliable heart rate data by maintaining better contact with the skin. However, they do not provide the comprehensive data needed for a detailed assessment of heart health, as Holter monitors do [15].

Recent advancements in wearable technologies

Recent advancements in wearable technology have led to the development of flexible and disposable devices designed to address the limitations of traditional monitors. The Wearable Intelligent Sensor Platform (WiSP) represents a new generation of devices that offer a more comfortable and practical solution for cardiac monitoring. The WiSP is a lightweight sensor that conforms to the skin, similar to an adhesive bandage, and uses soft materials to ensure consistent contact, even during movement or extended wear [16].

A key feature of the WiSP is its ability to operate without bulky batteries by harvesting energy from the body or the environment, making it suitable for long-term use. The WiSP captures data on heart activity and transmits it wirelessly to smartphones or other devices, enabling continuous, real-time monitoring in both clinical and home settings. Its small size and light weight significantly improve patient comfort and encourage consistent use compared to conventional monitors [17]. The design of the WiSP also addresses many of the challenges associated with earlier devices. Using thin, flexible materials that adhere comfortably to the skin, these sensors reduce discomfort and can be worn continuously without irritation. Advances in materials technology, such as stretchable circuits and soft substrates, have further improved their durability and data quality, allowing for more reliable monitoring [18].

Integration of artificial intelligence with wearable devices

The integration of artificial intelligence (AI) with wearable devices has enhanced the accuracy and capability of cardiac monitoring. AI algorithms can analyze the vast amounts of data collected by these devices, detecting patterns and abnormalities that may not be evident through traditional methods. This capability allows for earlier detection of heart problems and more timely medical intervention [19]. Machine learning models further improve the predictive capabilities of these devices by analyzing trends in heart data over time. Wearable sensors like the WiSP can monitor multiple signals, such as heart rate and blood flow, and use AI to provide real-time assessments of heart health. This technology supports more personalized care, offering feedback and alerts tailored to the individual's unique health profile [20]. Moreover, AI helps to simplify the interpretation of data, which is often time-consuming and subject to human error when done manually. By rapidly processing and analyzing information, AI systems can provide clinicians with more accurate and timely insights, making wearable devices more effective tools for heart monitoring [21].

Advanced Wearable Cardiac Biosensors

Design and structural components

Advanced wearable biosensors, such as the Wearable Intelligent Sensor Platform (WiSP), are transforming cardiac monitoring with their lightweight, flexible designs that enhance comfort and enable continuous use. These devices consist of several key components: sensing elements, encapsulation layers, and flexible electronic circuits, each contributing to their improved functionality and usability [22]. The core of the WiSP is its sensing element, which includes electrodes designed to detect electrical signals from the heart. These electrodes are made of materials like silver or gold, chosen for their excellent electrical conductivity and compatibility with human tissue. They are embedded in a soft, stretchable substrate, such as silicone elastomers, allowing the sensor to conform closely to the skin. This close contact ensures consistent signal acquisition by reducing motion artifacts, which can otherwise interfere with the accuracy of electrocardiogram (ECG) readings. Maintaining stable skin contact is crucial for obtaining reliable ECG data, which is essential for detecting arrhythmias and other cardiac abnormalities [23].

The encapsulation layers serve to protect the device's electronic components from external factors like moisture and sweat, which could compromise performance. These layers are crafted from biocompatible, breathable materials such as polyurethane or polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS). The ultrathin design of these layers keeps the device lightweight and comfortable for long-term use, without sacrificing durability. By maintaining a barrier against contaminants while allowing skin

https://doi.org/10.61577/ijcri.2024.100005

contact, these layers ensure the device remains effective and user-friendly [24]. Flexible electronic circuits are a vital part of the device, facilitating data collection, processing, and transmission. These circuits are printed on substrates using conductive inks or advanced materials like graphene or carbon nanotubes, which allow the circuits to bend and stretch with the skin. This flexibility reduces the risk of mechanical damage, enhancing the device's longevity and reliability [25].

Types of sensors used in advanced wearable biosensors

Wearable biosensors like the WiSP utilize various types of sensors to monitor cardiac health. The two primary sensors integrated into these devices are electrocardiogram (ECG) sensors and photoplethysmography (PPG) sensors, each serving distinct yet complementary roles [26].

Electrocardiogram (ECG) sensors

ECG sensors are central to wearable cardiac monitoring devices due to their ability to directly measure the heart's electrical activity. By detecting electrical impulses generated during each heartbeat, these sensors provide crucial data on heart rhythm and electrical conduction. This information is essential for diagnosing conditions such as arrhythmias. The flexible design of the WiSP ensures that the electrodes maintain stable contact with the skin, minimizing signal noise and improving the accuracy of ECG readings, even during movement [27].

Photoplethysmography (PPG) sensors

PPG sensors measure changes in blood volume by detecting variations in light absorption within the skin. They use light-emitting diodes (LEDs) to illuminate the skin and photodetectors to measure the amount of light reflected back, which correlates with blood flow. PPG sensors are used to estimate heart rate and oxygen saturation levels. Although they do not require direct electrical contact, their accuracy can be affected by factors like skin tone, ambient light, and motion. In flexible devices like the WiSP, the PPG sensors are embedded in materials that ensure close skin contact, reducing these interferences and enhancing the quality of the signal [28].

Energy harvesting and data transmission methods

A significant advancement in devices like the WiSP is the use of energy-harvesting technologies, which minimize the need for frequent battery replacements or recharging. The WiSP employs methods such as piezoelectric and thermoelectric energy harvesting to convert mechanical or thermal energy into electrical power.

Piezoelectric energy harvesting

Piezoelectric materials, such as lead zirconate titanate (PZT) or polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF), generate electrical charges in response to mechanical stress, such as body movements. This capability allows wearable biosensors to harness energy from daily activities like walking or running, providing a continuous and sustainable power source [29].

Thermoelectric energy harvesting

Thermoelectric materials, like bismuth telluride, generate electricity from temperature differences between the skin and the surrounding environment. This method is particularly effective in wearable devices exposed to varying temperatures, providing another reliable energy source that supports continuous operation [30]. These energy-harvesting mechanisms significantly enhance the practicality of wearable biosensors for long-term monitoring, reducing dependence on conventional batteries and ensuring uninterrupted performance.

Data transmission methods

The WiSP uses near-field communication (NFC) technology to transmit data. NFC is a low-power wireless communication method that facilitates data exchange over short distances, ideal for wearable sensors. This technology enables efficient and secure data transfer between the sensor and mobile devices, providing real-time information to healthcare providers and patients, crucial for timely medical interventions [31].

Data security features

Given the sensitive nature of health data, wearable biosensors like the WiSP incorporate robust data encryption and authentication protocols to prevent unauthorized access. Additionally, secure cloud storage solutions are integrated, allowing for safe, long-term data management and monitoring [32].

Advantages of advanced wearable biosensors

The combination of flexible materials, advanced sensors, energy-harvesting capabilities, and secure data transmission provides several key advantages:

Enhanced comfort and compliance

The use of soft, biocompatible materials allows for comfortable, extended wear, improving patient compliance. The ultrathin encapsulation layers protect the electronics while minimizing skin irritation [33].

Comprehensive cardiac monitoring

Integrating ECG and PPG sensors enables a thorough assessment of cardiac health by capturing multiple physiological signals. This holistic approach allows for early detection of abnormalities and timely intervention [34].

Sustainable power supply

The energy-harvesting features reduce the need for frequent battery replacements, enhancing usability and reducing maintenance costs, particularly for continuous monitoring [35].

Secure data management

Advanced data encryption and secure cloud storage ensure that sensitive health information remains protected, building patient trust in remote monitoring.

Clinical Applications

Clinical applications of wearable biosensors

Wearable biosensors, such as the Wearable Intelligent Sensor Platform (WiSP), are being increasingly used in clinical settings for continuous monitoring of cardiac conditions, including arrhythmias, heart failure, and other cardiovascular diseases. These devices provide continuous data, enabling earlier diagnosis and more timely intervention, thereby enhancing patient care [36].

Arrhythmia detection

Wearable biosensors are particularly useful in identifying arrhythmias, like atrial fibrillation, which may not show

https://doi.org/10.61577/ijcri.2024.100005

symptoms but can lead to complications such as stroke. Traditional Holter monitors have been effective but are often large and inconvenient, which can affect patient compliance. In contrast, the WiSP provides a discreet, comfortable option, capable of capturing accurate ECG signals over long periods. This capability is essential for detecting irregular heart rhythms that may not be observed during shorter monitoring sessions [37].

Heart failure monitoring

In heart failure management, continuous monitoring of vital signs like heart rate, respiratory rate, and oxygen levels is critical to identifying early signs of worsening conditions. The WiSP facilitates comprehensive monitoring by simultaneously collecting various physiological data, which helps detect subtle changes that may indicate deterioration. This enables healthcare providers to initiate timely treatments, potentially preventing hospital admissions and reducing healthcare costs [38].

Monitoring other cardiac conditions

Beyond arrhythmias and heart failure, wearable biosensors are also employed in tracking other cardiac issues, such as ischemic heart disease and cardiomyopathy. By providing continuous data on parameters like heart rate variability and ECG patterns, these devices offer valuable insights into disease progression and therapy effectiveness. The flexibility and comfort of these biosensors support their use over extended periods, resulting in more accurate data and better management of cardiac conditions [39].

Clinical validation studies

Clinical validation studies have demonstrated that wearable biosensors like the WiSP can provide reliable cardiac monitoring, comparable to traditional methods such as Holter monitors, while offering improved patient comfort and adherence.

Accuracy and signal quality

Research comparing the WiSP with standard Holter monitors shows that wearable biosensors maintain high-quality signal acquisition. The flexible materials used in the WiSP allow it to maintain consistent contact with the skin, reducing noise and minimizing signal artifacts that are common with more rigid devices. Studies have demonstrated that the WiSP can accurately detect arrhythmic events and other cardiac irregularities, achieving similar precision to multi-lead Holter monitors. This finding confirms the device's reliability in monitoring cardiac conditions [40].

Patient comfort and adherence

A significant benefit of wearable biosensors over traditional monitors is the enhancement of patient comfort and compliance. Holter monitors, which use multiple chest leads and adhesive pads, can cause skin irritation and restrict movement. The WiSP, with its lightweight and flexible design, adheres comfortably to the skin and does not interfere with daily activities. Clinical studies have reported that patients prefer the WiSP over traditional devices due to its unobtrusive nature and ease of use, which leads to greater compliance with long-term monitoring requirements [41].

Performance in real-world settings

The usability of wearable biosensors like the WiSP has been validated in diverse settings, including both ambulatory and home environments. The device consistently performs well across various conditions, transmitting data wirelessly via near-field communication (NFC) without disrupting daily routines. Patients have reported that the device's user-friendly design and unobtrusive appearance encourage adherence to prescribed monitoring schedules, which is crucial for effective cardiac management [38].

Patient feedback and real-world usability

Feedback from patients underscores the advantages of using wearable biosensors like the WiSP for cardiac monitoring. Patients appreciate the device's lightweight and comfortable design, which allows for continuous wear and integration into daily routines without inconvenience. Unlike traditional monitors, which can be cumbersome and restrictive, the WiSP's minimalist design promotes mobility, enabling normal activities such as exercise and sleep [42]. In real-world applications, studies have found that patients are more likely to comply with long-term monitoring protocols when using wearable biosensors. The WiSP's discreet design and lack of visible wires help reduce any stigma associated with wearing cardiac monitors, promoting a positive user experience. Additionally, the capability to provide real-time feedback through connected devices empowers patients to take a proactive role in managing their heart health, potentially improving overall outcomes [43].

Emerging Trends in Wearable Cardiac Monitoring

Role of AI and big data in wearable cardiac monitoring

Artificial intelligence (AI) and big data analytics are transforming wearable cardiac monitoring by enabling comprehensive data analysis and personalized care. AI algorithms are specifically designed to process large and continuous data streams from sensors, allowing for the detection of subtle changes in heart function that might indicate early signs of cardiovascular disease. For example, AI can analyze variations in heart rate or rhythm that suggest the onset of arrhythmias, providing an early warning system for both patients and healthcare providers [44]. AI facilitates personalized diagnostics by utilizing patient-specific data, such as medical history, age, and lifestyle. For instance, if a wearable device identifies an irregular heartbeat, AI can contextualize this information within the patient's unique profile, alerting medical professionals if the anomaly is clinically significant. This targeted approach enhances diagnostic precision and allows for more timely interventions, potentially averting the progression of cardiac conditions [45].

Big data analytics plays a complementary role by managing and interpreting vast quantities of information collected from wearable devices. Machine learning models, a subset of AI, can identify patterns and trends across extensive datasets, offering insights into cardiovascular health and how it evolves over time. For example, these models can refine diagnostic algorithms, improving the accuracy and predictive capabilities of wearable sensors. Such continuous monitoring is vital for chronic conditions where real-time adjustments in treatment plans are crucial for effective management [46].

Emerging trends in wearable cardiac monitoring technologies

Recent innovations in wearable cardiac monitoring are marked by advancements in sensor technology and integration with

https://doi.org/10.61577/ijcri.2024.100005

other digital health tools. One prominent trend is the development of multi-modal sensors, which combine several types of data collection within a single device. These sensors simultaneously measure multiple physiological parameters, such as electrical activity from electrocardiograms (ECG), blood flow changes via photoplethysmography (PPG), blood pressure, and oxygen saturation. By gathering a broader range of data, multi-modal sensors offer a more comprehensive view of cardiovascular health, leading to improved diagnostics and more informed clinical decisions. For instance, combining ECG and PPG data can enhance the detection of arrhythmias by verifying electrical signals with hemodynamic responses [47].

Integration with Internet of Things (IoT) devices is another significant trend shaping the future of wearable cardiac monitoring. IoT-enabled wearables create interconnected health ecosystems, allowing real-time data exchange between wearable sensors and other medical devices or healthcare systems. This connectivity supports remote monitoring, where health data is continuously transmitted to healthcare providers, enabling swift medical response when needed. For example, if a wearable sensor detects an irregular heartbeat, it can immediately alert a healthcare professional, who can then assess the situation and decide on necessary action, particularly benefiting patients in remote or underserved locations [42]. Advances in data analytics, such as deep learning and neural networks, are also driving improvements in wearable cardiac monitoring. These techniques help enhance the interpretation of complex physiological data by training on vast datasets to recognize specific cardiac patterns. For example, deep learning algorithms can better differentiate between normal and abnormal heart rhythms, reducing false positives and enhancing the accuracy of wearable monitors. This capability is especially valuable in identifying conditions like atrial fibrillation, which may have subtle manifestations that are easily missed by traditional monitoring methods [40].

Future potential of wearable cardiac sensors

The potential of wearable cardiac sensors extends beyond current capabilities, especially in detecting episodic events and integrating with telehealth systems. Episodic events, such as sudden arrhythmias or transient ischemic attacks, often occur unpredictably and may not be detected during scheduled clinical evaluations. Continuous monitoring with wearable sensors is ideally suited for capturing these events in real time. These devices can track data throughout the day, identifying patterns that precede such incidents and offering early warnings that prompt immediate medical intervention [48].

Wearable cardiac sensors are also poised to revolutionize patient management through telehealth integration. These devices can transmit data directly to telehealth platforms, allowing healthcare providers to monitor patients remotely and make informed decisions without requiring in-person visits. This is particularly advantageous for managing chronic conditions, where regular monitoring is essential but frequent trips to healthcare facilities are impractical. By facilitating remote monitoring, wearable sensors help reduce the strain on healthcare systems, lower costs, and improve patient outcomes through continuous, data-driven care [49]. Moreover, these sensors hold significant potential for population health management. Aggregating data from multiple devices enables healthcare providers to identify broader cardiovascular health trends, risk factors, and patterns, which can inform public health strategies and interventions. For example, wearable devices can help monitor the prevalence of specific cardiac conditions across different demographics, aiding in the development of targeted prevention programs [50].

Looking ahead, future wearable cardiac monitoring devices will likely emphasize seamless integration into daily life, prioritizing comfort, usability, and advanced features such as automatic data synchronization, extended battery life, and enhanced data security. The aim is to make continuous monitoring as unobtrusive as possible, fostering wider adoption and encouraging proactive management of heart health [48].

Human Factors and Usability

Importance of user-centered design

User-centered design is critical in developing wearable biosensors, as it significantly influences patient comfort, functionality, and adherence to prescribed monitoring regimens. To be effective, these devices must be seamlessly integrated into the user's daily routine, minimizing interference and maximizing usability. Comfort is paramount; devices that cause skin irritation or discomfort are likely to be removed prematurely, compromising data integrity. To address this, manufacturers are utilizing biocompatible materials such as medical-grade silicone and stretchable polymers, which conform closely to the body, reduce pressure points, and prevent skin irritation. Additionally, minimizing device weight and bulk is essential to reduce the perceived burden on the wearer and encourage continuous use [51].

Ease of use is equally crucial, ensuring that patients can manage these devices independently without frequent assistance. Features such as simple attachment mechanisms, clear visual indicators, and straightforward maintenance requirements are essential for encouraging routine use. Improved usability directly correlates with better adherence; when a device is difficult to use or uncomfortable, patients are less likely to follow prescribed monitoring protocols, resulting in incomplete data collection and less effective management of their health condition [52].

Clinical findings on usability and patient feedback

Clinical evaluations comparing flexible wearable biosensors with conventional cardiac monitors have demonstrated the benefits of user-centered design. In a study evaluating patient feedback on wearable cardiac biosensors made from polyurethane and polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), participants reported significantly greater comfort and satisfaction compared to traditional devices like Holter monitors. The flexible, lightweight properties of these materials enhance skin adherence and reduce the risk of irritation, enabling continuous monitoring without restricting daily activities [53].

Moreover, patients favored these flexible sensors due to their ability to move naturally with the body, unlike conventional devices that often feature rigid components and cumbersome wires. This natural movement reduces discomfort and promotes compliance, as the sensors do not interfere with daily tasks. The study also revealed that flexible biosensors maintained more consistent skin contact, minimizing motion artifacts and providing higher quality data than their rigid counterparts. These findings underscore the importance of incorporating

https://doi.org/10.61577/ijcri.2024.100005

patient feedback into design processes to enhance usability and ensure effective monitoring [54].

Strategies to enhance usability

To further improve the usability of wearable biosensors, several strategies can be employed. Utilizing advanced biocompatible materials, such as silicone-based polymers that are soft, stretchable, and breathable, can reduce skin irritation and accommodate body movements, ensuring the device remains comfortable for extended periods. Adjustable attachment systems, like hypoallergenic adhesive patches, can be tailored to fit different body types and skin conditions, improving patient comfort and compliance [55]. Optimizing the user interface is another vital strategy. Devices should include intuitive visual indicators for battery status, connectivity, and data collection to minimize user error and enhance the overall experience. Integrating wireless data transmission methods, such as Bluetooth or Near Field Communication (NFC), eliminates cumbersome wires and facilitates seamless data transfer to smartphones or remote monitoring systems, providing real-time feedback and increasing patient engagement in their health management [56].

Modular design approaches, where users can customize or replace specific sensor components based on their unique needs, offer a personalized monitoring experience. Educating users through instructional videos, guides, and responsive customer support services can further empower patients to use their devices confidently and effectively, enhancing long-term adherence and improving data quality [57].

Future Directions and Challenges

Key challenges

As wearable cardiac monitoring devices continue to evolve, several critical challenges need to be addressed to fully harness their capabilities. Data security and patient confidentiality are primary concerns due to the sensitive nature of health information collected and transmitted by these devices. Ensuring robust encryption standards and secure data transfer protocols is essential to prevent unauthorized access and data breaches. Recent incidents, such as breaches involving health data from fitness trackers, illustrate the vulnerabilities associated with connected devices, highlighting the need for stringent protection measures. Although regulations like the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in Europe and the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) in the United States provide frameworks, there is an urgent need for comprehensive global standards tailored to the complexities of wearable health technologies [58].

Regulatory compliance poses another significant challenge. Wearable cardiac monitors must undergo rigorous clinical validation to demonstrate safety, accuracy, and efficacy before gaining market approval. However, existing regulatory pathways often lag behind technological advancements, leading to delays in the commercialization of innovative devices. Furthermore, inconsistencies in regulatory requirements across regions create additional hurdles for global market access, restricting the widespread availability of these devices [59]. Interoperability with existing health information systems is also a major concern. For wearable devices to effectively contribute to patient care, they must seamlessly integrate with electronic health records (EHRs) and other digital platforms. Unfortunately, many current healthcare systems rely on legacy infrastructures that lack compatibility with newer technologies, resulting in fragmented data that can undermine comprehensive care. To enhance the utility of wearable cardiac monitors, interoperability standards must be developed to facilitate seamless data exchange across different platforms [60].

Future directions

To address these challenges, several future directions for wearable cardiac monitoring devices are being explored. One key area of focus is extending battery longevity. Many wearable monitors require frequent recharging, disrupting continuous monitoring and reducing patient adherence. Future advancements aim to incorporate components with lower power consumption and leverage technologies like thermoelectric or piezoelectric energy harvesting. For example, research is underway to develop devices that capture ambient energy from body heat or motion, potentially eliminating the need for frequent battery replacements and enabling uninterrupted monitoring [61].

Integration of multiple sensors within a single device represents another significant advancement. Combining diverse sensors allows for the simultaneous monitoring of various physiological parameters, such as electrocardiography (ECG), heart rate variability, arterial blood pressure, and oxygen saturation. Merging data from these modalities improves diagnostic accuracy and provides a holistic assessment of cardiovascular health. Newer innovations include biochemical sensors that detect biomarkers in biofluids like sweat or interstitial fluid, offering novel methods for continuous monitoring and early detection of pathophysiological changes [62]. Advances in precision medicine are also shaping the future of wearable cardiac monitoring. Leveraging continuous data from wearable devices, machine learning algorithms can tailor diagnostic and therapeutic approaches to each patient's specific risk profile and genetic predispositions. For instance, AI-driven models can analyze real-time data to identify early indicators of myocardial infarction in high-risk individuals, enabling preemptive interventions and individualized treatment plans. This personalized approach has the potential to improve patient outcomes significantly while optimizing healthcare resource utilization [63].

Potential for large-scale deployment and public health impact

The large-scale deployment of wearable cardiac monitors could have a transformative impact on public health by facilitating early detection and continuous management of cardiovascular diseases (CVDs). Broad access to these devices could allow for the real-time monitoring of large populations, particularly among those at higher risk for heart disease. Early identification of abnormalities could prevent hospital admissions, reduce treatment costs, and improve patient outcomes. For example, integrating wearables into routine care protocols could help detect atrial fibrillation or silent myocardial ischemia in asymptomatic patients, enabling timely intervention [64].

However, achieving widespread adoption requires overcoming several barriers. Ensuring affordability is critical, and strategies such as reducing production costs through advanced manufacturing technologies, offering insurance

https://doi.org/10.61577/ijcri.2024.100005

coverage, and exploring public-private partnerships could help make these devices more accessible. Public health initiatives, such as targeted education campaigns and community health programs, are also needed to increase awareness and encourage the use of wearable monitors, particularly in underserved populations with limited access to healthcare [65].

Incorporating wearable devices into population health management strategies offers additional opportunities to improve public health outcomes. Analyzing aggregated data from wearables can reveal epidemiological trends, identify at-risk groups, and guide resource allocation and preventive measures. For instance, real-time monitoring data could inform public health responses to spikes in hypertension or heart failure cases, supporting timely interventions and improving population-level cardiovascular health [66]. Effective deployment will require collaboration among stakeholders, including device manufacturers, healthcare providers, regulatory bodies, and policymakers. Developing unified standards for data sharing, cybersecurity, and interoperability is crucial for maximizing the utility of these devices in routine care settings and enhancing their public health impact [67].

Conclusions

Wearable biotechnology holds the potential to revolutionize cardiac health monitoring through continuous, real-time data collection, enabling earlier detection and more effective management of cardiovascular diseases (CVDs). This review underscores advancements like multi-sensor integration, which combines data from electrocardiograms (ECG), heart rate variability, blood pressure, and oxygen saturation sensors to provide a more comprehensive cardiovascular assessment and improve diagnostic accuracy. Innovations in energy-harvesting technologies, such as thermoelectric and piezoelectric materials, are also being developed to extend battery life, reducing the need for frequent recharging and improving patient adherence.

Nonetheless, key challenges must be addressed to fully realize these benefits. Data security remains a critical concern, with the need for advanced encryption protocols and secure data transfer methods to safeguard sensitive health information. Current regulatory frameworks, such as the GDPR in Europe and HIPAA in the U.S., must evolve to keep pace with technological advancements, ensuring that new devices meet safety standards without undue delays. Additionally, enhancing interoperability with electronic health records (EHRs) and other healthcare systems is essential to ensure the seamless integration of wearable devices into clinical practice.

Future research should prioritize developing biochemical sensors capable of detecting specific biomarkers in bodily fluids, offering new ways to identify cardiovascular risk factors earlier. Advancing AI-based algorithms for personalized medicine could further enhance patient care by tailoring diagnostics and treatment plans to individual needs. Establishing standards for data sharing and integration will also be critical to maximizing the utility of these technologies in routine care. Collaboration among healthcare providers, regulatory bodies, and technology developers is vital to overcoming existing barriers and expanding the clinical applications of wearable cardiac monitors, ultimately enhancing patient outcomes and supporting broader public health initiatives.

Disclosure statement

No potential conflict of interest was reported by the author.

References

1. Bowry AD, Lewey J, Dugani SB, Choudhry NK. The burden of cardiovascular disease in low-and middle-income countries: epidemiology and management. Can J Cardiol. 2015;31(9): 1151-1159. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cjca.2015.06.028
2. Schwalm JD, McKee M, Huffman MD, Yusuf S. Resource effective strategies to prevent and treat cardiovascular disease. Circulation. 2016;133(8):742-755. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.115.008721
3. Fung E, Järvelin MR, Doshi RN, Shinbane JS, Carlson SK, Grazette LP, Chang PM, Sangha RS, Huikuri HV, Peters NS. Electrocardiographic patch devices and contemporary wireless cardiac monitoring. Front Physiol. 2015;6:149. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2015.00149
4. Li H, Boulanger P. A survey of heart anomaly detection using ambulatory electrocardiogram (ECG). Sensors. 2020;20(5):1461. https://doi.org/10.3390/s20051461
5. Prieto-Avalos G, Cruz-Ramos NA, Alor-Hernandez G, Sánchez-Cervantes JL, Rodriguez-Mazahua L, Guarneros-Nolasco LR. Wearable devices for physical monitoring of heart: a review. Biosensors. 2022;12(5):292. https://doi.org/10.3390/bios12050292
6. Chen S, Qi J, Fan S, Qiao Z, Yeo JC, Lim CT. Flexible wearable sensors for cardiovascular health monitoring. Adv Healthc Mater. 2021;10(17):2100116. https://doi.org/10.1002/adhm.202100116
7. Ha M, Lim S, Ko H. Wearable and flexible sensors for user-interactive health-monitoring devices. J Mater Chem B. 2018;6(24):4043-4064. https://doi.org/10.1039/C8TB01063C
8. Ahmad Tarar A, Mohammad U, K. Srivastava S. Wearable skin sensors and their challenges: A review of transdermal, optical, and mechanical sensors. Biosensors. 2020;10(6):56. https://doi.org/10.3390/bios10060056
9. Villeneuve E, Auclair L, Prada-Mejia R, Anglade D, Sandre-Ballester C, Gerbelot R, et al. Assessing The Quality Of Photoplethysmography Signals For Arterial Pulse Wave Sensing. J Hypertens. 2023;41:103-104. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.hjh.0000939780.34119.9c
10. Kwon SH, Dong L. Flexible sensors and machine learning for heart monitoring. Nano Energy. 2022;102:107632. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2022.107632
11. Kazanskiy NL, Khonina SN, Butt MA. A review on flexible wearables-Recent developments in non-invasive continuous health monitoring. Sens Actuators A: Phys. 2024:114993. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sna.2023.114993
12. Romiti S, Vinciguerra M, Saade W, Anso Cortajarena I, Greco E. Artificial intelligence (AI) and cardiovascular diseases: an unexpected alliance. Cardiol Res Pract. 2020;2020(1):4972346. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/4972346
13. Duncker D, Ding WY, Etheridge S, Noseworthy PA, Veltmann C, Yao X, et al. Smart wearables for cardiac monitoring—real-world use beyond atrial fibrillation. Sensors. 2021;21(7):2539. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21072539
14. Lobodzinski SS, Laks MM. New devices for very long-term ECG monitoring. Cardiol J. 2012;19(2):210-214. https://doi.org/10.5603/CJ.2012.0039
15. Hough P, Glaister M, Pledger A. The accuracy of wrist-worn heart rate monitors across a range of exercise intensities. J Phys Act Res. 2017;2(2):112-116. https://doi.org/10.12691/jpar-2-2-8
16. Hong YJ, Jeong H, Cho KW, Lu N, Kim DH. Wearable and implantable devices for cardiovascular healthcare: from monitoring to therapy based on flexible and stretchable electronics. Adv Funct Mater. 2019;29(19):1808247. https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201808247
17. Shuvo MM, Titirsha T, Amin N, Islam SK. Energy harvesting in implantable and wearable medical devices for enduring precision healthcare. Energies. 2022;15(20):7495.

https://doi.org/10.61577/ijcri.2024.100005

https://doi.org/10.3390/en15207495
18. Liu Y, Pharr M, Salvatore GA. Lab-on-skin: a review of flexible and stretchable electronics for wearable health monitoring. ACS nano. 2017;11(10):9614-9635. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsnano.7b04898
19. Quartieri F, Marina-Breysse M, Pollastrelli A, Paini I, Lizcano C, Lillo-Castellano JM, et al. Artificial intelligence augments detection accuracy of cardiac insertable cardiac monitors: results from a pilot prospective observational study. Cardiovasc. Digit Health J. 2022;3(5):201-211. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cvdhj.2022.07.071
20. Srinivasaiah B. Transformative Wearables: How AI and ML are Shaping Healthcare Innovations. https://dx.doi.org/10.21275/SR24402055352
21. Chukwunweike JN, Michael S, MNSE MI, Emeh C. Artificial intelligence and electrocardiography: A modern approach to heart rate monitoring. World J Adv Res Rev. 2024;23(1):1385-1414. https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.23.1.2162
22. Tandon A, Avari Silva JN, Bhatt AB, Drummond CK, Hill AC, Paluch AE, et al. Council on Lifelong Congenital Heart Disease and Heart Health in the Young (Young Hearts); Council on Lifestyle and Cardiometabolic Health; Council on Clinical Cardiology; and Council on Cardiovascular and Stroke Nursing. Advancing Wearable Biosensors for Congenital Heart Disease: Patient and Clinician Perspectives: A Science Advisory From the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2024;149(19):1134-1142. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIR.0000000000001225
23. Kim YS, Mahmood M, Lee Y, Kim NK, Kwon S, Herbert R, et al. All-in-one, wireless, stretchable hybrid electronics for smart, connected, and ambulatory physiological monitoring. Adv Sci. 2019;6(17):1900939. https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.201900939
24. Sang M, Kim K, Shin J, Yu KJ. Ultra-thin flexible encapsulating materials for soft bio-integrated electronics. Adv Sci. 2022;9(30):2202980. https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202202980
25. Gao W, Ota H, Kiriya D, Takei K, Javey A. Flexible electronics toward wearable sensing. Accounts of chemical research. 2019;52(3):523-533. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.accounts.8b00500
26. Anbuselvam B, Gunasekaran BM, Srinivasan S, Ezhilan M, Rajagopal V, Nesakumar N. Wearable biosensors in cardiovascular disease. Clinica Chimica Acta. 2024:119766. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cca.2024.119766
27. Guess M, Zavanelli N, Yeo WH. Recent advances in materials and flexible sensors for arrhythmia detection. Materials. 2022;15(3):724. https://doi.org/10.3390/ma15030724
28. Shiralkar S, Bahulekar A, Dhumal S, Razdan S. Photoplethysmography Sensors Concept and Advancements: A Review. In2022 IEEE Pune Section International Conference (PuneCon) IEEE; 2022;1-7. https://doi.org/10.1109/PuneCon55413.2022.10014908
29. Wankhade SH, Tiwari S, Gaur A, Maiti P. PVDF–PZT nanohybrid based nanogenerator for energy harvesting applications. Energy Rep. 2020;6:358-364. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2020.02.003
30. Nozariasbmarz A, Suarez F, Dycus JH, Cabral MJ, LeBeau JM, Öztürk MC, et al. Thermoelectric generators for wearable body heat harvesting: Material and device concurrent optimization. Nano Energy. 2020;67:104265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nanoen.2019.104265
31. Zhang H, Li J. NFC in medical applications with wireless sensors. In2011 International Conference on Electrical and Control Engineering. IEEE; 2011. 718-721p. https://doi.org/10.1109/ICECENG.2011.6057534
32. Jan SU, Ali S, Abbasi IA, Mosleh MA, Alsanad A, Khattak H. Secure patient authentication framework in the healthcare system using wireless medical sensor networks. J Healthc Eng. 2021;2021(1):9954089. https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/9954089
33. Song BE. Soft, biocompatible materials and skin-like electronics as wearable devices: an interview with John A. Rogers. Natl Sci Rev. 2023;10(1):nwac191. https://doi.org/10.1093/nsr/nwac191
34. Rundo F, Conoci S, Ortis A, Battiato S. An advanced bio-inspired photoplethysmography (PPG) and ECG pattern recognition system for medical assessment. Sensors. 2018 ;18(2):405. https://doi.org/10.3390/s18020405
35. Adu-Manu KS, Adam N, Tapparello C, Ayatollahi H, Heinzelman W. Energy-harvesting wireless sensor networks (EH-WSNs) A review. ACM Trans Sens Netw (TOSN). 2018;14(2):1-50. https://doi.org/10.1145/3183338
36. Nazir S, Iqbal RA. Biosensor for rapid and accurate detection of cardiovascular biomarkers: progress and prospects in biosensors. Biosens Bioelectron. 2023:100388. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biosx.2023.100388
37. Yenikomshian M, Jarvis J, Patton C, Yee C, Mortimer R, Birnbaum H, Topash M. Cardiac arrhythmia detection outcomes among patients monitored with the Zio patch system: a systematic literature review. Curr Med Res Opin. 2019;35(10):1659-1670. https://doi.org/10.1080/03007995.2019.1610370
38. Saponara S, Donati M, Bacchillone T, Sanchez-Tato I, Carmona C, Fanucci L, Barba P. Remote monitoring of vital signs in patients with chronic heart failure: Sensor devices and data analysis perspective. In2012 IEEE Sensors Applications Symposium Proceedings. IEEE; 2012. 1-6p. https://doi.org/10.1109/SAS.2012.6166310
39. Bayoumy K, Gaber M, Elshafeey A, Mhaimeed O, Dineen EH, Marvel FA, et al. Smart wearable devices in cardiovascular care: where we are and how to move forward. Nat Rev Cardiol. 2021;18(8):581-599. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41569-021-00522-7
40. Steinberg C, Philippon F, Sanchez M, Fortier-Poisson P, O'Hara G, Molin F, et al. A novel wearable device for continuous ambulatory ECG recording: proof of concept and assessment of signal quality. Biosensors. 2019;9(1):17. https://doi.org/10.3390/bios9010017
41. Ajami S, Teimouri F. Features and application of wearable biosensors in medical care. Res J Med Sci. 2015;20(12):1208-1215. https://doi.org/10.4103/1735-1995.172991
42. Bergmann JH, Chandaria V, McGregor A. Wearable and implantable sensors: The patient's perspective. Sensors. 2012;12(12):16695-16709. https://doi.org/10.3390/s121216695
43. Fensli R, Boisen E. Human factors affecting the patient's acceptance of wireless biomedical sensors. InBiomedical Engineering Systems and Technologies: International Joint Conference, BIOSTEC 2008 Funchal, Madeira, Portugal, January 28-31, 2008 Revised Selected Papers 1. Springer Berlin Heidelberg; 2009. 402-412p. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-92219-3_30
44. Huang JD, Wang J, Ramsey E, Leavey G, Chico TJ, Condell J. Applying artificial intelligence to wearable sensor data to diagnose and predict cardiovascular disease: a review. Sensors. 2022;22(20):8002. https://doi.org/10.3390/s22208002
45. Chaudhary I, Anwar H. The Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Cardiovascular Disease Diagnosis: A Review. Pak J Med Health Sci. 2023;17(11):8. https://doi.org/10.53350/pjmhs0202317118
46. Miao F, Wu D, Liu Z, Zhang R, Tang M, Li Y. Wearable sensing, big data technology for cardiovascular healthcare: current status and future prospective. Chin Med J. 2023;136(9):1015-1025. https://doi.org/10.1097/CM9.0000000000002117
47. Vemuri NV. Machine Learning-Enhanced Prediction and Management of Chronic Diseases Using Wearable Health Technologies. J Power Technol. 2021;45(4). https://doi.org/10.52783/pst.215
48. Bonato P. Advances in wearable technology and its medical applications. In2010 annual international conference of the IEEE engineering in medicine and biology. IEEE; 2010. 2021-2024p. https://doi.org/10.1109/IEMBS.2010.5628037
49. Manavi T, Zafar H, Sharif F. An Era of Digital Healthcare—A Comprehensive Review of Sensor Technologies and Telehealth Advancements in Chronic Heart Failure Management. Sensors. 2024;24(8):2546. https://doi.org/10.3390/s24082546
50. Chow CK, Ariyarathna N, Islam SM, Thiagalingam A, Redfern J. mHealth in cardiovascular health care. Heart, Lung and Circulation. 2016;25(8):802-807. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hlc.2016.04.009
51. Kim T, Park I. Skin-interfaced Wearable Biosensors: A Mini-Review. J Sens Sci Technol. 2022;31(2):71-78.

https://doi.org/10.61577/ijcri.2024.100005

http://dx.doi.org/10.46670/JSST.2022.31.2.71

52. Evans J, Papadopoulos A, Silvers CT, Charness N, Boot WR, Schlachta-Fairchild L, et al. Remote health monitoring for older adults and those with heart failure: adherence and system usability. Telemed. J. e-Health. 2016;22(6):480-488. https://doi.org/10.1089/tmj.2015.0140
53. Jagelka M, Donoval M, Telek P, Horínek F, Weis M, Daříček M. Wearable healthcare electronics for 24-7 monitoring with focus on user comfort. In2016 26th International Conference Radioelektronika (RADIOELEKTRONIKA). IEEE; 2016. 5-9p. https://doi.org/10.1109/RADIOELEK.2016.7477444
54. Sunwoo SH, Han SI, Park CS, Kim JH, Georgiou JS, Lee SP, et al. Soft bioelectronics for the management of cardiovascular diseases. Nat Rev Bioeng. 2024;2(1):8-24. https://doi.org/10.1038/s44222-023-00102-z
55. Lee EK, Yoo H, Lee CH. Advanced Materials and Assembly Strategies for Wearable Biosensors: A Review. Biosens.- Curr Nov Strateg Biosensing. 2020:83-110.
56. Morak J, Kollmann A, Hayn D, Kastner P, Humer G, Schreier G. Improving telemonitoring of heart failure patients with NFC technology. InProceedings of the fifth IASTED International Conference: biomedical engineering, Innsbruck, Austria; 2007. https://dx.doi.org/10.2316/Journal.216.2007.5.555-041
57. Zhao Z, Lu Y, Mi Y, Zhu Q, Meng J, Wang X, et al. Modular design in triboelectric sensors: A review on the clinical applications for real-time diagnosis. Sensors. 2023;23(9):4194. https://doi.org/10.3390/s23094194
58. Banerjee S, Hemphill T, Longstreet P. Wearable devices and healthcare: Data sharing and privacy. Inf. Soc. 2018;34(1):49-57. https://doi.org/10.1080/01972243.2017.1391912
59. Brönneke JB, Müller J, Mouratis K, Hagen J, Stern AD. Regulatory, legal, and market aspects of smart wearables for cardiac monitoring. Sensors. 2021;21(14):4937. https://doi.org/10.3390/s21144937
60. Dinh-Le C, Chuang R, Chokshi S, Mann D. Wearable health technology and electronic health record integration: scoping review and future directions. JMIR mHealth and uHealth. 2019;7(9):e12861. https://doi.org/10.2196/12861
61. Dargusch M, Liu WD, Chen ZG. Thermoelectric generators: alternative power supply for wearable electrocardiographic systems. Adv Sci. 2020;7(18):2001362. https://doi.org/10.1002/advs.202001362
62. Wu H. Frontier Applications Research for Next-Generation Cardiovascular Health Monitoring Chip Design. In2023 IEEE 15th International Conference on ASICON. 2023;1-4. https://doi.org/10.1109/ASICON58565.2023.10396478
63. Agrawal S. Revolutionizing Cardiovascular Health: A Machine Learning Approach for Predictive Analysis and Personalized Intervention in Heart Disease. 2024 https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.58797
64. Mizuno A, Changolkar S, Patel MS. Wearable devices to monitor and reduce the risk of cardiovascular disease: evidence and opportunities. Annu Rev Med. 2021;72(1):459-471. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-med-050919-031534
65. Ferguson C, Hickman LD, Turkmani S, Breen P, Gargiulo G, Inglis SC. "Wearables only work on patients that wear them": Barriers and facilitators to the adoption of wearable cardiac monitoring technologies. Cardiovasc Digit Health J. 2021;2(2):137-147. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cvdhj.2021.02.001
66. Izu L, Scholtz B, Fashoro I. Wearables and Their Potential to Transform Health Management: A Step towards Sustainable Development Goal 3. Sustainability. 2024;16(5):1850. https://doi.org/10.3390/su16051850
67. Kelly JT, Campbell KL, Gong E, Scuffham P. The Internet of Things: Impact and implications for health care delivery. J Med Internet Res. 2020;22(11):e20135. https://doi.org/10.2196/20135

https://doi.org/10.61577/ijcri.2024.100005